

TABLE OF CONTENTS OF Why are Artists

Poor

PREFACE

1. SACRED ART

Who Has the Power to Define Art?

1. Art is What People Call Art /
2. Cultural Inferiority and Superiority Color the Economy of the Arts /
2. 'Art is Sacred' /
4. 'Art is Authentic' /
5. 'Art is Superfluous and Remote' /
6. 'Art Goes Against the Rules and so Adds to Cognition' (Goodman) /
7. 'Artists Resemble Magicians' (A personal view) /
8. The Mythology of the Arts Influences the Economy of the Arts /
9. Conclusion

2. THE DENIAL OF THE ECONOMY

Why are Gifts to the Arts Praised, While Market Incomes Remain Suspect?

1. The Arts Depend on Gifts and Trade /
2. The Amount of Donations and Subsidies is Exceptional /
3. 'Art that is Given Must not be Sold' /
4. 'The Market Devalues Art' /
5. The Arts Need the High Status of the Gift Sphere /
6. The Economy in the Arts is Denied and Veiled /
7. A Dual Economy Requires Special Skills /
8. Conclusion

3. ECONOMIC VALUE VERSUS AESTHETIC VALUE

Is There Any Financial Reward for Quality?

1. Aesthetic Value and Market Value Differ in Definition/
2. 'In the Market there is no Reward for Quality' /
3. Values are Shared /
4. There is No Such Thing as a Pure Work of Art /
5. Buyers Influence Market Value and Experts Aesthetic Value /
6. Power Differences Rest on Economic, Cultural and Social Capital /
7. In Mass Markets Quality and Sales Easily Diverge /
8. The Strife for Cultural Superiority in the Visual Arts (an example) /
9. The Power of Words Challenges the Power of Money /
10. The Government Transforms Cultural Power into Purchasing Power /
11. Donors and Governments Know Best /
12. Market Value and Aesthetic Value Tend to Converge in the Long Run /
13. Conclusion

4. THE SELFLESSLY DEVOTED ARTIST

Are Artists Reward-Oriented?

1. The selfless Artist is Intrinsically Motivated /
2. Rewards Serve as Inputs /
3. Artists are Faced with a Survival Constraint /
4. Autonomy is Always Relative /
5. Intrinsic Motivation Stems from Internalization /
6. Habitus and Field /
7. Selfless Devotion and the Pursuit of Gain Coincide /
8. Artists Differ in Their Reward-Orientation /
9. Types and Sources of Rewards Matter to Artists /
10. Three Examples of Orientation Towards Government Rewards in the Netherlands /
11. Conclusion

5. MONEY FOR THE ARTIST 71

Are Artists Just Ill-Informed Gamblers?

1. Incomes in the Arts are Exceptionally High/
2. Art Markets are Winner-Takes-All Markets /
3. People Prefer Authenticity and are Willing to Pay for It /
4. Incomes in the Arts are Exceptionally Low /
5. Five Explanations for the Low Incomes Earned in the Arts /
- 6.

Artists are Unfit for 'Normal' Jobs / 7. Artists are Willing to Forsake Monetary Rewards / 8. Artists are Over-Confident and Inclined to Take Risks / 9. Artists are Ill-Informed / 10. Conclusion

6. STRUCTURAL POVERTY

Do Subsidies and Donations Increase Poverty?

1. Artists Have Not Always Been Poor / 2. The Desire to Relieve Poverty in the Arts Led to the Emergence of Large-Scale Subsidization / 3. Low Incomes are Inherent to the Arts / 4. The Number of Artists Adjusts to Subsidy Levels / 5. Subsidies in the Netherlands Have Increased the Number of Artists Without Reducing Poverty / 6. Subsidies Are a Signal that Governments Take Care of Artists / 7. Subsidies and Donations Intended to Alleviate Poverty Actually Exacerbates Poverty / 8. Low-priced Education Signals that it is Safe to Become an Artist / 9. Social Benefits Signal that it is Safe to Become an Artist / 10. Artists Supplement Incomes with Family Wealth and Second Jobs / 11. Artists Reduce Risks by Multiple Jobholding / 12. Artists Could be Consumers rather than Producers / 13. Is there an Artist 'Oversupply' or are Low Incomes Compensated For? / 14. Conclusion

7. THE COST DISEASE

Do Rising Costs in the Arts Make Subsidization Necessary?

1. 'Artistic Quality Should Remain the Aspiration, Regardless of the Costs' / 2. 'The Arts are Stricken by a Cost Disease' / 3. Technical Progress has Always been Part of the Arts / 4. There is no True Performance / 5. The Taboo on Technical Innovation in classical Music is a Product of the Times / 6. The Cost Disease Contributes to Low Incomes while Internal Subsidization Contains the Cost Disease / 7. There is no Limit to the Demand for Works of Art / 8. Changing Tastes Can Also Cause Financial Problems / 9. Pop Music has Attractive Qualities that Classical Music Lacks / 10. Subsidies and Donations Exacerbate the Cost Disease / 11. Conclusion

8. THE POWER AND THE DUTY TO GIVE

Why Give to the Arts?

1. Donors Receive Respect / 2. Donors Have Influence and are Necessarily Paternalistic / 3. Art Sublimates Power and Legitimizes the Donor's Activities / 4. Gifts Turn into Duties / 5. Donations and Subsidies are Embedded in Rituals / 6. Artists Give and Pay Tribute / 7. Family and Friends Subsidize Artists / 8. Private Donors Give to Street Artists as well as to Prestigious Art Institutions / 9. Corporations and Private Foundations Support Art / 10. Conclusion

9. THE GOVERNMENT SERVES ART

Do Art Subsidies serve the Public Interest or Group Interests?

1. Art Subsidies Need Reasons / 2. 'Art Subsidies are Necessary to Offset Market Failures' / 3. 'Art has Special Merits and must be Accessible for Everyone' / 4. The Merit Argument has been Used Successfully / 5. 'Government Must Help Poor Artists' / 6. 'Art is Public and the Government Must Intervene to Prevent Underproduction' / 7. 'Art Contributes to Economic Welfare and so Must be Supported' / 8. 'Society Needs a Reserve Army of Artists and must therefore Support Art' / 9. Government Distorts Competition in the Arts / 10. Self-Interest Hides Behind Arguments for Art Subsidies / 11. The Art world Benefits from Subsidies / 12. The Government is under Pressure to Subsidize the Arts / 13. Conclusion

10. ART SERVES THE GOVERNMENT

How Symbiotic is the Relationship between Art and the State?

1. Governments Have Interests and Tastes / 2. Art Appears to be Less Serviceable than it was during Monarchical Times / 3. European Governments Carried on the Former Patronage / 4. Veiled Display Serves Social Coherence / 5. The Cultural Superiority of the Nation Needs Display / 6. Government Taste Serves Display / 7. Governments are Willing to Support the Arts / 8. An Arts Experts Regime Harmonizes Government and Art World Interests / 9. Conclusion / Appendix: Differences between Government Involvement in the Arts in the US and in Europe

11. INFORMAL BARRIERS STRUCTURE THE ARTS

How Free or Monopolized are the Arts?

1. In other Professions Barriers Inform Consumers, Restrain Producers and Limit Competition / 2. The Arts Resist a formal control of numbers of Artists / 3. In the Past Numbers of Artists were Controlled / 4. Granting Certificates to Commercial Galleries in the Netherlands (An example) / 5. Characteristics of Informal Barriers / 6. Informal Barriers Protect Collective Reputations / 7. Innovations in the Arts are Protected and Indirectly Rewarded / 8. The Arts are Structured and Developments are Controlled / 9. The Risks of some are Reduced at the Expense of Others / 10. Conclusion

12. Conclusion: A CRUEL ECONOMY

Why is the Exceptional Economy of the Arts so Persistent?

1. The Economy of the Arts is an Exceptional Economy / 2. Despite the Many Donations and Subsidies Incomes are Low in the Arts / 3. A Grim Picture has been Drawn / 4. Winners Reproduce the Mystique of the Arts / 5. Society Needs a Sacred Domain / 6. Future Scenarios with More or Less Subsidization

Epilogue: THE FUTURE ECONOMY OF THE ARTS

Is this Book's Representation of the Economy of the Arts Outdated?

1. Signs of a Less Exceptional Economy of the Arts / 2. Artists with New Attitudes Enter the Scene (1) / 3. Artists with new Attitudes Enter the Scene (2) / 4. 'Art Becomes Demystified as Society Becomes More Rational' / 5. 'Borders in and Around the Arts Disappear' / 6. 'New Techniques, Mass Consumption and Mass Media Help Demystify the Arts'